UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,661	12/31/2003	Krishna Bharat	0026-0064	2814	
44989 7590 11/13/2008 HARRITY & HARRITY, LLP			EXAMINER		
11350 Random		AHN, SANGWOO			
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/748,661	BHARAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SANGWOO AHN	2168				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	lv 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·						
4) Claim(s) <u>19-28,30,33-36,39 and 41</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>19-28,30,33-36,39 and 41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-14:					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/28/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claims 19 - 28, 30, 33 - 36, 39 and 41 are pending in the present application.

Claims 1 - 18, 29, 31 - 32, 37 - 38 and 40 have been canceled.

Claims 24, 30 and 36 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 19, 30, 33 and 36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2168

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 – 20, 27 – 28, 30, 33 - 34, 36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication Number 2005/0137996 issued
to Billsus et al. (Billsus) in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,643,661 issued to Polizzi
et al. (Polizzi).

Regarding claim 19, Billsus discloses,

A method, comprising:

permitting multiple users to access, via a network, first news content contained in one or more news documents stored at a document server (paragraph 34 lines 1-2: multiple users connect to the server module from multiple different systems, paragraph 35 lines 3-4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, et seq.);

sending query data, in response to a portion of the first news content being accessed by at least one of the multiple users, from the document server across at least a portion of the network to a news server (paragraph 35 lines 3 – 4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5 – 6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, et seq.);

aggregate news content from a plurality of news sources (paragraph 47 lines 4 - 5: search for content that is closely related to the user's current context, paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, et seq.);

receiving second news content, via the network, at the document server from the news server based on the query data (paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, et seq.);

incorporating the second news content into the one or more news documents (Figure 1 element 70, paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, et seq.); and

permitting the multiple users to access, via the network, the second news content at the document server (paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, paragraph 81: generated digest are provided to the user, et seq.), wherein the document server and the news server comprise different network devices that are connected to the network (Figure 2, paragraph 37, et seq.).

Billsus does not *explicitly* disclose a news server operable to crawl news content from a plurality of news sources.

However, Polizzi discloses a crawl server (the second server) operable to crawl documents on server agents (other servers) by navigating the portal, the intranet, and the Internet, and to gather and download documents from the Internet (store information associated with the crawled documents) (Figure 2 elements 235 and 250, column 12 lines 46 – 67, et seq.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to modify Billsus's method of aggregating news content from multiple sources to incorporate Polizzi's method of crawling documents, thus enabling automatic access to multiple computer systems to retrieve desired data and present

them to an individual in a standardized and easy-to-learn format (column 1 lines 46 – 50, et seq.).

Regarding claim 20, Billsus discloses executing a search, using the query data, to retrieve the second news content (paragraph 47 lines 4 - 5, et seq.).

Regarding claim 26, Billsus discloses the query data comprises a textual portion of the portion of the first news content (paragraph 35 lines 3 – 4, paragraph 35 lines 5 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 27, Billsus discloses the news server generates a search query for use in the search based, at least in part, on the textual portion of the portion of the first news content (paragraph 35 lines 3 - 4, paragraph 35 lines 5 - 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 28, Billsus discloses the textual portion of the portion of the first news content comprises key words of the portion of the first news content (paragraph 35 lines 3 – 4, paragraph 35 lines 5 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 30, Billsus discloses,

A system, comprising:

a first server configured to:

store a locally created document with news content that contains embedded search queries (paragraph 35 lines 3-4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5-6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, et seq.), and

send a search query that was embedded within the news content across at least a portion of a network to a second server (paragraph 35 lines 3 – 4: extract text

from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5-6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, paragraph 59 lines 3-4: a text fragment of arbitrary length into a weighted query, et seq.); and the second server being configured to:

search the news content based on the search query to obtain search results (paragraph 47 lines 4 - 5: search for content that is closely related to the user's current context, paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, et seq.), and

provide particular news content to the first server based on the search results (paragraph 48 lines 15 – 17: related content is processed and transmitted back to the client module, and thus to the user computing device); the first server being further configured to:

permit a plurality of clients to access, from across the network, the locally created document with the news content and the particular news content received from the second server (paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, paragraph 81: generated digest are provided to the user, et seq.), where the first server, the second server, and the plurality of remote servers comprise different network devices that connect to the network (Figure 2, paragraph 37, et seq.).

Billsus does not explicitly indicate that the second server is operable to crawl a corpus of news documents hosted on other servers.

However, Polizzi discloses a crawl server (the second server) operable to crawl documents on server agents (other servers) by navigating the portal, the intranet, and

the Internet, and to gather and download documents from the Internet (store information associated with the crawled documents) (Figure 2 elements 235 and 250, column 12 lines 46 – 67, et seq.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to modify Billsus's method of aggregating news content from multiple sources to incorporate Polizzi's method of crawling documents, thus enabling automatic access to multiple computer systems to retrieve desired data and present them to an individual in a standardized and easy-to-learn format (column 1 lines 46 – 50, et seq.).

Regarding claim 33, Billsus discloses,

A method, comprising:

embedding search queries in selected locations of news content documents stored at a first server (paragraph 35 lines 3-4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5-6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, paragraph 59 lines 3-4: a text fragment of arbitrary length into a weighted query, et seq.);

receiving, from across a network, a selection of one of the news content documents from a user at a client (paragraph 45 lines 3 – 4: when the user opens the document, paragraph 46 lines 5 - 7: transmissions takes place whenever the user performs an action on the document, et seq.);

retrieving one of the embedded search queries in response to receiving the selection of the one of the news content documents (paragraph 45 line 4: text extraction

Art Unit: 2168

circuit or routine, paragraph 59 lines 3 - 4: text fragment into a weighted query, et seq.); and

sending query data, that includes the one of the embedded search queries, from the first server to the client across at least a portion of a network to allow the client, using the query data, to retrieve news content from a second server (paragraph 35 lines 3-4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5-6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, paragraph 59 lines 3-4: a text fragment of arbitrary length into a weighted query, et seq.).

Billsus does not explicitly indicate that the second server is operable to crawl a corpus of news documents hosted on other servers and store information associated with the crawled documents.

However, Polizzi discloses a crawl server (the second server) operable to crawl documents on server agents (other servers) by navigating the portal, the intranet, and the Internet, and to gather and download documents from the Internet (store information associated with the crawled documents) (Figure 2 elements 235 and 250, column 12 lines 46 – 67, et seq.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to modify Billsus's method of aggregating news content from multiple sources to incorporate Polizzi's method of crawling documents, thus enabling automatic access to multiple computer systems to retrieve desired data and present them to an individual in a standardized and easy-to-learn format (column 1 lines 46 – 50, et seq.).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,661

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 34, Billsus discloses searching, at the second server, the repository of documents based on the one of the embedded search queries to obtain the news content (paragraph 47 lines 4 - 5, paragraph 55 lines 2 - 4, et seq.) and sending the obtained news content from the second server to the client across the network (paragraph 48 lines 15 - 17, et seq.).

Page 9

Regarding claim 39, Billsus discloses the query data includes at least a portion of text from the selected one of the news content documents (paragraph 35 lines 3-4, paragraph 35 lines 5-6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 36, Billsus discloses,

A method, comprising:

embedding search queries of news content documents stored at a first server (paragraph 35 lines 3-4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5-6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, paragraph 59 lines 3-4: a text fragment of arbitrary length into a weighted query, et seq.);

receiving, from across a network, a selection of one of the news content documents from a user at a client (paragraph 45 lines 3 – 4: when the user opens the document, paragraph 46 lines 5 - 7: transmissions takes place whenever the user performs an action on the document, et seq.);

retrieving one of the embedded search queries in response to receiving the selection of the one of the news content documents (paragraph 45 line 4: text extraction circuit or routine, paragraph 59 lines 3 - 4: text fragment into a weighted query, et seq.);

Art Unit: 2168

sending query data, that includes the one of the embedded search queries, from the first server to a second server that has stored information associated with other related documents (paragraph 35 lines 3-4: extract text from one or more accessed documents, paragraph 35 lines 5-6: transmit the extracted text to the server module, paragraph 59 lines 3-4: a text fragment of arbitrary length into a weighted query, et seq.);

receiving, at the first server, news content from the second server that is related to the query data (paragraph 48 lines 15 – 17: related content is processed and transmitted back to the client module, and thus to the user computing device);

populating one or more documents of the news content documents with the received news content for access by the user (paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, paragraph 81: generated digest are provided to the user, et seq.).

Billsus does not explicitly indicate that the second server is operable to crawl a corpus of news documents hosted on other servers.

However, Polizzi discloses a crawl server (the second server) operable to crawl documents on server agents (other servers) by navigating the portal, the intranet, and the Internet, and to gather and download documents from the Internet (store information associated with the crawled documents) (Figure 2 elements 235 and 250, column 12 lines 46 – 67, et seq.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to modify Billsus's method of aggregating news content from multiple sources to incorporate Polizzi's method of crawling documents, thus enabling

Art Unit: 2168

automatic access to multiple computer systems to retrieve desired data and present them to an individual in a standardized and easy-to-learn format (column 1 lines 46 – 50, et seq.).

<u>Claims 21 – 25 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being</u>
<u>unpatentable over Billsus and Polizzi, further in view of U.S. Patent Number</u>
6,581,072 issued to Mathur et al. (Mathur).

Regarding claim 21, Billsus and Polizzi disclose the method of claim 20.

Billsus and Polizzi do not explicitly disclose the query data comprising a URL associated with the portion of the first news content.

However, Mathur discloses the query data comprising a URL associated with the portion of the first news content in column 11 lines 25 – 33 (information identifying the location of the web pages (e.g. URLs corresponding to the web pages)). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time the invention was made to modify Billsus and Polizzi's system to incorporate the query data comprising a URL as taught by Mathur, thus enabling identification of documents of interest with minimal user intervention.

Regarding claim 22, Mathur discloses retrieving at least a portion of text of the portion of the first news content using the URL and generating a search query for use in the search based, at least in part, on the at least a portion of the text (column 11 lines 25 - 33, et seq.).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 23, Billsus discloses the textual portion of the portion of the first news content comprises key words of the portion of the first news content (paragraph 35 lines 3 – 4, paragraph 35 lines 5 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 24, Billsus discloses aggregating the news content from the plurality of news sources and groups the news content (Figure 1 element 70, paragraph 55 lines 2 – 4: collection, summarization and document construction process to generate the digest, et seq.).

Regarding claim 25, Mathur discloses the search compares the URL with the grouped news content to determine a group from the grouped news content to which the URL belongs (column 11 lines 25 – 33, et seq.).

Regarding claim 41, Billsus and Polizzi disclose the method of claim 39, along with generating a search query based on keywords of the new content documents (paragraph 35 lines 3-4, paragraph 35 lines 5-6, et seq.) and searching, at the second server, the repository of crawled documents based on the generated search query to obtain news content that is related to the search query (paragraph 47 lines 4-5, paragraph 55 lines 2-4, et seq.).

Billsus and Polizzi do not explicitly disclose fetching the selected one of the news content documents using the URL.

However, Mathur discloses fetching the selected one of the news content documents using the URL in column 11 lines 25 - 33. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time the invention was made to modify Billsus and Polizzi's system to incorporate the query data comprising a URL as

taught by Mathur, thus enabling identification of documents of interest with minimal user intervention.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Billsus and Polizzi, further in view of U.S. Publication Number 2005/0027666

issued to Beck et al. (hereinafter "Beck").

Regarding claim 35, Billsus and Polizzi disclose the method of claim 33.

Billsus and Polizzi do not explicitly disclose the embedded search queries in the form of an applet or a hyper text markup language (HTML) iframe.

However, Beck discloses the aforementioned feature in paragraph 24. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time the invention was made to modify Billsus and Polizzi's method to incorporate Beck's use of hyper text markup language iframe, thus enabling an interactive online research system, locating an online site or document to present to a user.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANGWOO AHN whose telephone number is (571)272-5626. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on (571) 272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2168

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11/6/2008 /S. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2168

/Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168